UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,456	05/29/2007	Markus Baumann	095309.57935US	4150
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 05/11/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY GROU	STEVENS, THOMAS H		
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/584,456	BAUMANN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		THOMAS H. STEVENS	2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ma	arch 2009					
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
· · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application	1.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
′=	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,12,14,18,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>13,15-17,19,22,23</u> is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acce		Examiner.				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 11-23 were examined.

#### Section I: Final Rejection

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims i.e., moving all limitations into claim 1.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2121

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 11-12,14,18,20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besson (US Patent 4,600,316; hereafter Besson) in view of Knoll et al. (US Patent 3,732,368; hereafter Knoll).

### Per claims 11-12,14,18,20,21 Besson teaches

- manual actuator (figure 1, element 4,4')
- a plurality of degrees of freedom of adjustment (first degree, column 3; second degree, column 4, lines 48-50) for at least one of selecting and activating entries
- two entries simultaneous to a selectable parameter (column 5, lines 34-35)

Art Unit: 2121

a motor vehicle (abstract)

• in a menu structure (figure 1, element 1 or column 5, line 44) with a plurality of

menu levels, (figure 1, element 1 or column 5, line 44) and a screen display

having a plurality of display areas for displaying the menu structure, (figure 1,

element 1 or column 5, line 44) each of the display areas comprising at least one

field for displaying one of the entries (figure 1 element 9)

least two entries (keypad can select from a variety of information items, column

3, lines 47-56) are assigned to a settable parameter (examples listed in column

3, lines 47-56) for setting a first entry being an analog display (figure 1, element5)

of the settable parameter, and second entry being a digital display (column 5,

lines 62-67) of the settable parameter(examples listed in column 3, lines 47-56)

While all the component parts are known in Knoll and Besson. The only difference is the

combination of old elements into a single vehicle by incorporating the teachings of

Besson into Knoll. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

mount the plurality of degrees of freedom of the control dial of Besson into Knoll since

Besson teaches a procedure that modify[ies] the analog and digital indication (Besson:

column 2, line 42).

Per claim 20, Besson teaches

Art Unit: 2121

• the at least one parameter represents a time (column 2, line 34)

#### Per claim 21, Besson teaches

 first subparameter represents hours (column 3, lines 39-40), a second subparameter represents minutes(column 3, lines 39-40) and a third subparameter represents seconds.

### Section II: Response to Arguments

# 103(a)

7. The Office is thanked for addressing these issues; however the arguments presented is non-persuasive in view of the prior art. For example, Besson teaches two entries of simultaneously i.e., hours/minutes, see column 5, lines 33-34). Rejection stands.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 10/584,456

Art Unit: 2121

9. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Tom Stevens whose telephone number is 571-272-3715.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact examiner's supervisor Mr. Albert Decady (571-272-3819). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Art Unit: 2121

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.. Answers to questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) (toll-free (866-217-9197)).

/Albert Decady / Supervisory Patent Examiner Tech Center 2100

/Thomas H. Stevens/

Examiner, Art Unit 2121